

Megathrust Earthquake Controls along the Cascadia Subduction Zone

By Andrew



Source: Jules Verne Voyager


Study Area: Cascadia Subduction Zone



- Geographic Setting:
  - ~1,000 km in length
  - Located off the coast of Southern California to Vancouver Island
- Tectonic Setting:
  - Subduction Zone
  - 60-130 km offshore
  - Juan de Fuca Plate & North American Plate
- Historic Setting:
  - January 26, 1700 [*Goldfinger (2003)*]
  - Evidence of 6 Events in last 2,000 years [*Nelson (2006)*]
  - 12 separate tsunami events over past 5,000 years [*Nelson (2006)*]

Source: Jules Verne Voyager


Megathrust Earthquakes



- Left Image:
  - N. American plate flexing upwards due to drag of the underlying plate beneath.
- Right Image:
  - Hypocenter of a Megathrust earthquake.
  - N. American plate moves out to sea due to the removing of the upward flexure.
  - Lowers the coastline causing a rise in sea level

Source: Modified from film: Active Earth Awareness: The Silent Subduction Zone


Reclassification of Cascadia



- Chilean-type:
  - Shallow dip
  - Strong coupling b/w plates
- Mariana-type:
  - Steep dip of the down going plate
  - Weak coupling b/w plates

Source: [Uyeda (1979), Heaton & Kanamori (1984)]

Strong Evidence for past Megathrust-type Earthquakes




Modified from Atwater (1987)

Research Question

- *What tectonic processes and geometric constraints along the Cascadia subduction zone control megathrust earthquakes?*

Observation: Locked Zones




- Methods:
  - Geodetic measurements along the coast
  - N. California to Vancouver Island
- Results:
  - Vertical and Horizontal Deformation
  - Shaded region = Locked Zones


Source: [Fluck (1997)]

Observations: Locked Zone cont.

CROSS SECTIONAL & 3-D VIEW OF CASCADIA


LEFT = RATES OF UPLIFT
RIGHT = DIRECTIONAL VECTORS & CONTOURS OF HORIZONTAL VELOCITY





Source: [Fluck (1997)]

Observations: Preferred Trajectory




Rate of Convergence	Oceanic Lithosphere Age	Preferred Trajectory
Fast	Young	Horizontal (Largest Earthquakes)
Median	Median	Median
Slow	Old	Vertical (Relatively Aseismic)

- Preferred Trajectory:
  - Angle of descent
- Controls on Earthquake Size:
  - Age of subducting lithosphere
  - Rate of convergence
- Characteristics of Cascadia:
  - Young oceanic lithosphere
  - High rates of convergence

Modified from Ruff & Kanamori (1983)


Observations: Excess Trench Sediments (ETS)



- Fate of ETS
  1. Subducted in horst & graben structures
  2. Thin lamination underplates accretionary prism
- Asperities:
  - *Regions of resistance within the subducting lithosphere*
- Two outcomes affecting seismicity b/w plates

Source: [Ruff (1989)]


Observations: Temperature vs. Depth of Subducting Plate




- Cascadia Temperature:
  - Very High
  - 225°C to 260°C
- Explanation:
  - **Note:** horizontal bars = base of ETS
  - High Temp. b/c young oceanic lithosphere & Insulation from ETS

Source: [Oleskevich (1999)]

Observations: Possible Explanation for Confined Southern Locking Zone






Source: [Fluck (1997), McCaffrey (2000)]

Observations: Episodic Tremor & Slip


- Episodic Tremor & Slip:
  - *Low frequency long lasting tremors observed at deep depths in subduction zones*
- Implications:
  - Possible recognizable onsets of Megathrust earthquakes [Dragert (2003)]

- Seismic Reflection Model (*strong constraints for plate interface*)
  - Observations of 2004 Central forearc earthquakes recorded at episodic tremor and slip depths
  - Proposed depth of locked or transitional zone



Source: [Tréhu (2008)]

Observations: Decoupling of the Plates



- Proposed Process:
  - Crustal eclogitization
  - Mantle serpentinization
- Possible Detection of this "hydro fracturing of the seal":
  - Episodic tremor & slip

Source: [Audet (2009)]

Conclusions

- Evidence for past megathrust earthquakes along Cascadia:
  - Paleo-tsunami sediments, turbidites and even historic recordings
- Cascadia will continue producing megathrust earthquakes:
  1. High rates of convergence
  2. Young oceanic lithosphere
  3. Nearly horizontal preferred trajectory (large coupling)
- Basin and Range is confining the southern locking zone
- Both young oceanic lithosphere and ETS result in anomalously hot oceanic lithosphere being subducted.
- Possible slip due to subducted asperities could lead to megathrust earthquakes.
- Decoupling is likely a result of a phase change and dewatering of the down going slab

Sources

Atwater, B. F. (1987). Evidence for great Holocene earthquakes along the outer coast of Washington State. *Science*, *236*(4804), 942-944.

Flück, P., Hyndman, R. D., & Wang, K. (1997). Three-dimensional dislocation model for great earthquakes of the Cascadia Subduction Zone. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth (1978–2012)*, *102*(B9), 20539-20550.

Goldfinger, C., Nelson, C. H., & Johnson, J. E. (2003). Holocene earthquake records from the Cascadia subduction zone and northern San Andreas Fault based on precise dating of offshore turbidites. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, *31*(1), 555-577.

Heaton, T. H., & Kanamori, H. (1984). Seismic potential associated with subduction in the northwestern United States. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, *74*(3), 933-941.

McCaffrey, R., Long, M. D., Goldfinger, C., Zwick, P. C., Nabelek, J. L., Johnson, C. K., & Smith, C. (2000). Rotation and plate locking at the southern Cascadia subduction zone. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *27*(19), 3117-3120.

Nelson, A. R., Kelsey, H. M., & Witter, R. C. (2006). Great earthquakes of variable magnitude at the Cascadia subduction zone. *Quaternary Research*, *65*(3), 354-365.

Oleskevich, D. A., R. D. Hyndman, and K. Wang. "The updip and downdip limits to great subduction earthquakes: Thermal and structural models of Cascadia, south Alaska, SW Japan, and Chile." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth (1978–2012)* 104.B7 (1999): 14965-14991.

Peterson, C. D., Clague, J. J., Carver, G. A., & Cruikshank, K. M. (2013). Recurrence intervals of major paleotsunamis as calibrated by historic tsunami deposits in three localities: Port Alberni, Cannon Beach, and Crescent City, along the Cascadia margin, Canada and USA. *Natural hazards*, *68*(2), 321-336.

Ruff, L., & Kanamori, H. (1983). Seismic coupling and uncoupling at subduction zones. *Tectonophysics*, *99*(2), 99-117.

Ruff, L. J. (1989). Do trench sediments affect great earthquake occurrence in subduction zones?. In *Subduction Zones Part II* (pp. 263-282). Birkhäuser Basel.

Tréhu, A. M., Braunmiller, J., & Nabelek, J. L. (2008). Probable low-angle thrust earthquakes on the Juan de Fuca–North America plate boundary. *Geology*, *36*(2), 127-130.

Uyeda, S., & Kanamori, H. (1979). Back-arc opening and the mode of subduction. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth (1978–2012)*, *84*(B3), 1049-1061.